

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERGE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/446,144	03/02/2000	CARLO RUBBIA	P5634	1854
7:	590 04/01/2005		EXAMINER	
MICHAEL L				
RUDNICK & V PO BOX 6480			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL				

DATE MAILED: 04/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1			•					
/ Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/446,144	RUBBIA, CARLO					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Jack W. Keith	3641					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
The Appeal Brief filed on <u>10 January 2005</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.								
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.								
1. 🗌	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.							
2. 🛛	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed or confirmed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).							
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).							
4. 🛛	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).							
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))							
6. 🛚	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).							
7. 🛛	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).							
8.	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).							
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).							
10.🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):							
	Item 2- claim 10 has not been included in the claims Item 4- the summary of claimed subject matter- the also to the restriction/election requirement - which is which is consistent with the restriction/election of Paltem 6- while there appears to be an attempt of provarguments together. Applicant also left a 112,2 nd retem 7- only claims on appeal are within the append	summary includes mutiliple references a petitionable matter. The summar aper 9. viding each argument under a separt ejection of (item 9, section d, Paper 2	y should refence o e heading applicar	only that				
		Prima	W. Keith acy Examiner nit: 3641					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-462 (Rev. 9-04)